

(ख) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग शुल्क कच्चे माल पर उत्पादन शुल्क के रिबेट के मामलें में जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है।

- (b) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.
- (ग) यदि शुल्क का भूगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।
- (c) In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.

अंतिम उत्पादन की उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो डयूटी केडिट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस धारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, अपील के द्वारा पारित वो समय पर या बाद में वित्त अधिनियम (नं.2) 1998 धारा 109 द्वारा नियुक्त किए गए हो।

- (d) Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec.109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.
- (1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट प्रपत्र संख्या इए–8 में दो प्रतियों में, प्रेषित आदेश के प्रति आदेश प्रेषित दिनाँक से तीन मास के भीतर मूल–आदेश एवं अपील आदेश की दो--दो प्रतियों के साथ उचित आवेदन किया जाना चाहिए। उसके साथ खाता इ. का मुख्यशीर्ष के अंतर्गत धारा 35–इ में निर्धारित फी के भुगतान के सबूत के साथ टीआर–6 चालान की प्रति भी होनी चाहिए।

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

(2) रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रूपये या उससे कम हो तो रूपये 200/- फीस भुगतान की जाए और जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/- की फीस भुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपीलः– Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35—बी/35—इ के अंतर्गतः—

Under Section 35B/ 35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-

- (क) उक्तलिखित परिच्छेद 2 (1) क में बताए अनुसार के अलावा की अपील, अपीलो के मामले में सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण <u>(सिस्टेट)</u> की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, अहमदाबाद में ओ—20, न्यू मैन्टल हास्पिटल कम्पाउण्ड, मेघाणी नगर, अहमदाबाद—380016
- (a) To the west regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at O-20, New Metal Hospital Compound, Meghani Nagar, Ahmedabad : 380 016. in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para-2(i) (a) above.



The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise(Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,000/-, Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty / penalty / demand / refund is upto 5 Lac, 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asstt. Registar of a branch of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated.

(3)यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल ओदश के लिए फीस का भुगतान उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिएँ इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि लिखा पढी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता हैं।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner not withstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम 1970 यथा संशोधित की अनुसूचि–1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उक्त आवेदन या (4) मूल आदेश यथास्थिति निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रति पर रू.6.50 पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-I item of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

(5) इन ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention in invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

(6)सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट), के प्रति अपीलो के मामले में कर्तव्य मांग (Demand) एवं दंड (Penalty) का 10% पूर्व जमा करना अनिवार्य है। हालांकि, अधिकतम पूर्व जमा 10 करोड़ रुपए है। (Section 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सेवा कर के अंतर्गत, शामिल होगा "कर्तव्य की मांग"(Duty Demanded) -

- (Section) खंड 11D के तहत निर्धारित राशि; (i)
- (ii) लिया गलत सेनवैट क्रेडिट की राशि;
- (iii) सेनवैट क्रेडिट नियमों के नियम 6 के तहत देय राशि.
- 🗢 यह पूर्व जमा 'लंबित अपील' में पहले पूर्व जमा की तूलना में, अपील' दाखिल करने के लिए पूर्व शर्त बना दिया गया है .

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, 10% of the Duty & Penalty confirmed by the Appellate Commissioner would have to be pre-deposited, provided that the predeposit amount shall not exceed Rs.10 Crores. It may be noted that the pre-deposit is a mandatory condition for filing appeal before CESTAT. (Section 35 C (2A) and 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

- amount determined under Section 11 D; (i)
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
- (iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

इस इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क के 10% भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती है।

एवं सेवाक्र

In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty penalty alone is in dispute."

ORER-IN-APPEAL

This appeal has been filed by M/s Madhuvan Insurance Broking Service Pvt Ltd, 85, Madhuvan Building, Nr. Madalpur Underbridge, Ellisbridge, Ahmedabad [hereinafter referred to as "appellant] against Order-in-Original No.CGST-VI/Ref-90/SKC/Madhu/18-19 dated 28.09.2018 [hereinafter referred to as "impugned order"] passed by the Assistant Commissioner of CGST, Division-I, Ahmedabad South [hereinafter referred to as "adjudicating authority].

2. Briefly stated, the facts of the case is that the appellant has filed a refund claim of Rs.1,04,125/- on 01.06.2018, towards the excess payment of service tax paid by them through oversight during May 2007. The adjudicating authority has rejected the said claim on the grounds that though the appellant have option to make adjustment of the service tax paid in excess in a particular month or quarter, as specified under Rule 6 (4A) of Service Tax Rules, 1994, they failed to make such adjustment.

3. Being aggrieved, the appellant has filed the instant appeal on the grounds that the order rejecting the refund claim is without appreciating the facts in its proper perspective and without giving an opportunity of being heard; that there is no provision under the law to reject the refund claim when the appellant could not adjust the excess amount of service tax paid against the liability of subsequent month.

4. Personal hearing in the matter was held on 11.12.2018. Shri Naitik Mehta, Chartered Accountant appeared for the same and reiterated the ground of appeal. He submitted further written submission.

5. I have carefully gone through the facts of the case and submissions made by the appellant in the appeal memorandum as well as at the time of personal hearing.

6. At the outset, I find that the refund in question has been filed by the appellant towards excess payment of service tax paid during May 2017. The situation leads to file the said refund claim is that as per ST-3 return filed by the appellant, they had shown the taxable value as Rs.20,55,619/- and paid service tax, Swachh Bharat Cess, Krishi Kalyan Cess, totally of Rs.3,08,343/-. As soon as it came to the knowledge of the appellant that they had charged excess amount, credit note of Rs.5,90,000/- to M/s Bajaj Allianz Insurance Co. Ltd and Rs.25,477/- to New India Assurance Co.Ltd was issued. As per the appellant's claim, including Rs.78,686/- said to have been inadvertently shown more in ST-2 return, the taxable value was Rs.13,61,456/-. Therefore, they were liable for payment of service tax, Swachh Bharat Cess, Krishi Kalyan Cess, totally for Rs.2,04,218/-which resulted in excess payment of Rs.1,04,125/-.



3

F No.V2(ST)138/Ahd-South/18-19

7. I find that the adjudicating authority has not disputed the excess payment made by the appellant. However he contended that the excess payment was made by mistake in the month of May 2017 and the appellant have option to make adjustment as per provisions of Rule 6(4A) and avail the credit in the month of June 2017; that the availment of credit in the month of June 2017 could be either utilized for the payment against the liability of June 2017 or carried forwarded the closing balance of credit of June 2017 in GST by filing TRANS-1 form for transitional credit under the provisions of Section 140 of CGST Act. Further, I find that the adjudicating authority has contended that [i] the reasons for issuance of credit notes were not recorded by the appellant and the service recipient has not given any confirmation on receipt of such credit notes and accounted for in their financial records; [ii] the appellant has not given the evidence that for which period they have issued credit notes; they also not given the corresponding invoices against which the credit notes; and [iii] not provided any reasons for value addition of Rs.78,686/- in the month of May 2017.

4

8. I find that the adjudicating authority has decided the case without issuing show cause notice/query memo or granting opportunity to represent their case by way of personal hearing. The appellant has also contended that they have not given any natural justice to represent their case. The action of adjudicating authority is amounts to violation of principles of natural justice. No doubt, principles of natural justice have been held to be revered and have been placed at a high pedestal in the adjudication proceedings which are judicial, quasi judicial. Therefore, the impugned order of the adjudicating authority is set aside solely on the ground of principles of natural justice. Consequently, the matter is remitted back to the adjudicating authority for passing fresh order, after giving an opportunity of personal hearing to the appellant. The appellant is at a liberty to submit their defence at the time of personal hearing.

9. In view of above discussion, I remand the case to the adjudicating authority. The appeal stands disposed of in above terms.

(उमा शंकर) प्रधान आयुक्त (अपील्स) Date : .1.2019

<u>Attested</u>

(Mohanan V.V) 9219 Superintendent (Appeal), Central Tax,Ahmedabad.

By RPAD.

To, M/s Madhuvan Insurance Broking Service Pvt Ltd, 85, Madhuvan Building, Nr. Madalpur Underbridge, Ellisbridge, Ahmedabad



Copy to:-

- The Chief Commissioner, Central Tax, Ahmedabad Zone.
 The Principal Commissioner, Central Tax, Ahmedabad South.
 The Joint Commissioner, CGST, Ahmedabad, South
 The Assistant Commissioner, System, CGST, Ahmedabad South
 The Assistant Commissioner, CGST, Dn.III, Ahmedabad South
- 6 Guard File.

7. P.A.

